

## ОБЗОРЫ ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ

### ОБЗОР ПУБЛИКАЦИЙ ПО ТЕМЕ: «ЦЕННОСТНО-АФФЕКТИВНАЯ ПОЛЯРИЗАЦИЯ СОЦИАЛЬНЫХ ГРУПП»

© Ванин А.В.

К.п.н., научный сотрудник,  
Институт психологии РАН, Москва, Россия  
vaninav@ipran.ru

© Гордякова О.В.

К.п.н., профессор кафедры социальной психологии,  
НОЧУ ВО Московский институт психоанализа, Москва, Россия  
o\_gordyakova@mail.ru

Работа выполнена в рамках проекта РНФ №23-18-00422

В обзоре представлены публикации 2022-2023 гг., выполненные в рамках проекта: «Ценностно-аффективная поляризация населения России и проблема предотвращения психологической нестабильности российского общества», поддержанного в 2023 году Российским научным фондом. Приведены только те публикации, которые привлекли наше внимание, и в которых мы находим некую научную новизну для оценки состояния исследований в нашей стране. Рассмотренные публикации распределены по ключевым словам, в соответствии с которыми осуществлялся поиск.

Практически во всех публикациях по данной теме и близких к ней мы находим анализ причин, в соответствии с которыми, по мнению их авторов, возникает поляризация мнений и противостояние партий, занимающих противоположные позиции по наиболее важным вопросам политики, рассматриваются разные типы поляризации.

Во многих публикациях проводится сравнительный анализ двух понятий – это аффективная поляризация (*polarization*) и идеологическая сортировка (*sorting*). Идеологическая сортировка – это чаще всего некое относительно равномерное разделение политически

активного населения стран, прежде всего США и Европы, по различным зарегистрированным партиям. В этом случае люди готовы на компромисс с представителями других партий.

Также часто говорится о такой процедуре, как выбор одного кандидата на какой-либо важный пост из числа равнозначных кандидатов случайным образом, то есть путем жеребьевки. Такой акт политического выбора отсутствует в нашей стране полностью.

Аффективная поляризация – это противостояние членов партий, которое не предполагает компромиссов или делает их незначимыми.

Специфика российской поляризации, по мнению зарубежных политологов, состоит в том, что в нашей стране все четыре партии, представленные в Государственной Думе по сути являются сортировкой только одного политического полюса, в целом лояльного власти.

При этом отмечается, что большинство населения в РФ вообще не озабочено политическими вопросами и принимает ту позицию, которую озвучивает власть. Это связано, по мнению многих западных исследователей, с нашей политической системой, национальной психологией, особой ролью подконтрольных

Правительству РФ СМИ, ролью силовых структур, ростом патриотических настроений, религиозностью населения и др.

### Affective polarization

1. Bruin D., Baar J., FeldmanHall O. (2023). Shared neural representations and temporal segmentation of political content predict ideological similarity // *SCIENCE ADVANCES*. 9, eabq5920.
2. Torcal M., Reiljan A., Zanotti L. (2023). Editorial: Affective polarization in comparative perspective // *Frontiers in Political Science. Sec. Political Participation*. Vol.5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2023.1112238>
3. Johnston R. (2023). Affective Polarization in the Canadian Party System, 1988–2021 // *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. V.56. Pp.372–395. doi:10.1017/S0008423923000112
4. Jenke L. (2023). Affective Polarization and Misinformation Belief // *Political Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-022-09851-w>
5. Gidron N., Adams J., Horne W. (2023). Who Dislikes Whom? Affective Polarization between Pairs of Parties in Western Democracies // *British Journal of Political Science*. V.53. Pp. 997–1015. doi:10.1017/S0007123422000394
6. Estrada M.S., Juarez Y., Piña-García C.A. (2022). Toxic Social Media: Affective Polarization After Feminist Protests // *Social Media + Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051221098343>
7. Santoro E., Broockman D.E. (2022). The promise and pitfalls of cross-partisan conversations for reducing affective polarization: Evidence from randomized experiments. Supplementary Materials // *Science Advances*. V.8. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv. abn5515
8. Bradley M., Chauchard S. (2022.) The Ethnic Origins of Affective Polarization: Statistical Evidence From Cross-National Data // *Frontiers in Political Science*. V.4. doi: 10.3389/fpos.2022.920615
9. Brandt M.J., Vallabha Sh. (2022). Intraindividual Changes in Political Identity Strength are Associated with Political Animosity in the United States and the Netherlands. Michigan State University. 2022\_State and trait affective polarization.pdf
10. Balcells L., Kuo A. (2022). Secessionist conflict and affective polarization. Evidence from Catalonia. 2022\_Secessionist conflict and affective polarization Evidence from Catalonia.pdf
11. Nelson M.H. (2022). Resentment Is Like Drinking Poison? The Heterogeneous Health Effects of Affective Polarization // *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. Vol. 63(4). Pp. 508–524. DOI: 10.1177/00221465221075311
12. Bettarelli L., Haute E.V. (2022). Regional inequalities as drivers of affective polarization // *Regional Studies, Regional Science*. V.9. I.1, Pp.549-570. DOI: 10.1080/21681376.2022.2117077
13. Kekkonen A., Suuronen A., Kawecki D., Strandberg K. (2022). Puzzles in affective polarization research: Party attitudes, partisan social distance, and multiple party identification // *Frontiers in Political Science*. V.4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2022.920567>
14. Renström E.A., Bäck H., Carroll R. (2022). Protecting the Ingroup? Authoritarianism, Immigration Attitudes, and Affective Polarization // *Frontiers in Political Science*. V.4. doi: 10.3389/fpos.2022.919236
15. Flores A., Colea J.C., Dickert S., Eom K. et al. (2022). Politicians polarize and experts depolarize public support for COVID-19 management policies across countries // *PNAS*. Vol. 119. №. 3. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117543119>
16. Bettarelli L., Close C., Haute E. Is Protest Only Negative? Examining the Effect of Emotions and Affective Polarization on Protest Behaviour // *Politics and Governance*. V.10. №4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v10i4.5665>
17. Voelkel J.G., Chu J., Stagnaro M.N., Mernyk J.S., Redekopp C., Pink S.L., Druckman J.N.,

- Rand D.G., Willer R. (2022). Interventions reducing affective polarization do not necessarily improve anti-democratic attitudes // *Nature Human Behaviour*. V.7. Pp.55–64. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01466-9>
18. Tornberg P. (2022). How digital media drive affective polarization through partisan sorting // *PNAS*. Vol. 119. № 42. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2207159119>
19. Casas A., Menchen-Trevino E., Wojcieszak M. (2022). Exposure to Extremely Partisan News from the Other Political Side Shows Scarce Boomerang Effects // *Political Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-021-09769-9>
20. Simonsson O., Bazin O., Fisher S.D., Goldberg S.B. (2022). Effects of an 8-Week Mindfulness Course on Affective Polarization // *Mindfulness*. V.13. Pp.474–483. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-021-01808-0>
21. Adams J., Bracken D., Gidron N., Horne W., O'Brien D.Z., Senk K. (2022). Can't We All Just Get Along? How Women MPs Can Ameliorate Affective Polarization in Western Publics // *American Political Science Review*. Vol.117. Issue 1. Pp. 318-324. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055422000491>
22. Simonsson O., Goldberg S.B., Marks J., Yan L., Narayanan J. (2022). Bridging the (Brexit) divide: Effects of a brief befriending meditation on affective polarization // *PLoS ONE*. V.17(5). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267493>
23. Phillips J. (2022). Affective Polarization: Over Time, Through the Generations, and During the Lifespan // *Political Behavior*. V. 44. Pp.1483–1508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-022-09784-4>
24. Segovia C. (2022). Affective polarization in low-partisanship societies. The case of Chile 1990–2021 // *Frontiers in Political Science*. V.4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2022.928586>
25. Bettarelli L., Haute E. (2022). Affective polarization and coalition preferences in times of pandemic // *Frontiers in Political Science*. Vol.4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2022.945161>
26. Bettarelli L., Reiljan A., Haute E. (2023). A regional perspective to the study of affective polarization // *European Journal of Political Research*. V.62 Pp. 645–659. doi: 10.1111/1475-6765.12548

#### **Polarization of Attitudes**

27. Horcajo J., Briñol P., Paredes B., Petty R.E., DeMarree K.G., See Y.M. (2022). Polarization of Attitudes as a Function of Mortality Salience: A Meta-Cognitive Analysis // *Psicothema*. Vol. 34, No. 2, Pp.226-232. doi: 10.7334/psicothema2021.334
28. Luskin R.C., Sood G., Fishkin J.S., Hahn K.S. (2022). Deliberative Distortions? Homogenization, Polarization, and Domination in Small Group Discussions // *British Journal of Political Science*. V.52, Pp.1205–1225. doi:10.1017/S0007123421000168
29. Monsted B., Lehmann S. (2022). Characterizing polarization in online vaccine discourse – A large-scale study // *PLOS ONE*. V.17(2). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263746>
30. Ye Y., Zhang R., Zhao Y., Yu Y., Du W., Chen T. (2022). A Novel Public Opinion Polarization Model Based on BA Network // *Systems*. V.10 (2). Pp. 46. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems10020046>

#### **Belief polarization**

31. Baumgaertner B., Justwan F. (2022). The preference for belief, issue polarization, and echo chambers // *Synthese*. 200:412. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-022-03880-y>
32. Begby E. (2022). From Belief Polarization to Echo Chambers: A Rationalizing Account // *Episteme*. Pp.1–21. doi:10.1017/epi.2022.14
33. Gene A., Iftekhar H. (2022). Belief polarization and Covid-19 // *Bank of Finland Research Discussion Papers*. № 10. <https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:fi:bof-202209201384>
34. Rekker R., Harteveld E. (2022). Understanding factual belief polarization: the role of trust, political sophistication, and affective

polarization // Acta Politica.  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41269-022-00265-4>

### Political Polarization

35. Piazza J.A. (2022). Political Polarization and Political Violence // Forthcoming, Security Studies. 2022\_Political Polarization and Political Violence.pdf
36. Dolman A.J., Fraser T., Panagopoulos C., Aldrich D.P., Kim D. (2022). Opposing views: associations of political polarization, political party affiliation, and social trust with COVID-19 vaccination intent and receipt // JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH. Vol. 45, № 1, Pp. 36–39. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdab401>
37. Borah A., Singh S.R. (2022). Investigating political polarization in India through the lens of Twitter // Social Network Analysis and Mining. V. 12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13278-022-00939-z>
38. Proaño Acosta Ch., Peña J.C., Saalfeld T. (2020). Inequality, macroeconomic performance and political polarization: A panel analysis of 20 advanced democracies. BERG. Working Paper Series, № 157. 2022\_Inequality, macroeconomic performance and political polarization a panel analysis of 20 advanced democracies.pdf
39. Lee A.H. (2022). Social Trust in Polarized Times: How Perceptions of Political Polarization Affect Americans' Trust in Each Other // Political Behavior. V.44. Pp.1533–1554. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-022-09787-1>

### Psychological polarization

40. Salvi C., Iannello P., Cancer A., Cooper S.E., McClay M., Dunsmoor J.E., Antonietti A. (2023). Does social rigidity predict cognitive rigidity? Profiles of socio-cognitive polarization // Psychological Research. V.87. Pp. 2533–2547. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-023-01832-w>
41. Henkel L., Sprengel Ph., Korn L., Betsch C., Böhm R. (2023). The association between vaccination status identification and societal polarization // Nature Human

Behaviour. Vol. 7. Pp.231–239.  
DOI:10.1038/s41562-022-01469-6.  
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41562-022-01469-6>

42. Kvam P.D., Alaukik A., Mims C.E., Martemyanova A., Baldwin M. (2022). Rational inference strategies and the genesis of polarization and extremism // Scientific Reports. V.12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-11389-0>
43. Webster S.W., Albertson B. (2022). Emotion and Politics: Noncognitive Psychological Biases in Public Opinion // Annual Review of Political Science. Vol. 25. Pp.401-418. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051120-105353>

### Social polarization

44. Brown G., Lewandowsky S., Huang Zh. (2022). Social Sampling and Expressed Attitudes: Authenticity Preference and Social Extremeness Aversion Lead to Social Norm Effects and Polarization // Psychological Review. Vol. 129, №1, Pp.18–48. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000342>
45. Kaiser J., Vaccari C., Chadwick A. (2022). Partisan Blocking: Biased Responses to Shared Misinformation Contribute to Network Polarization on Social Media // Journal of Communication. Vol.72, Issue 2, Pp. 214–240. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqac002>
46. Balinhas D. (2023). Bringing critical social psychology to the study of political polarization // Social and Personality Psychology Compass. V.17. Issue 1. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12721>
47. Jahani E., Gallagher N., Merhout F., Cavalli N., Guilbeault D., Leng Y., Bail C.A. (2022). An Online experiment during the 2020 US–Iran crisis shows that exposure to common enemies can increase political polarization // Scientific Reports. V.12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-23673-0>

### Social media polarization

48. Stray J., Iyer R., Larrauri H.P. (2023). The Algorithmic Management of Polarization and

- Violence on Social Media. 2023\_The Algorithmic Management of Polarization and Violence on Social Media.pdf
49. Bizel G., Singh A.K. (2023). Political Polarization, Misinformation, and Sentiments: A Social Media Analysis About 'Capitol Hill 2021 Attack' by Tweets // *Journal of Social, Humanities and Administrative Sciences*. V. 9(61). Pp.2257-2266. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.29228/JOS HAS.64755>
50. Jacob D., Banisch S. (2023). Polarization in Social Media: A Virtual Worlds-based Approach // *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*. V.26(3). Url: <http://jasss.soc.surrey.ac.uk/26/3/11.html>
51. Overgaard C., Collier J.R. (2023). In different worlds: The contributions of polarization and platforms to partisan (mis)perceptions // *New Media & Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448231176551>
52. Casares O.L. (2023). Diversify the Accounts You Follow: The Effects of Social Media on Political Polarization in Mexico. Tesis que para obtener el título de Licenciado en Economía. Asesora Dr. Horacio Alejandro Larreguy Arbesu'. 2023\_Diversify the Accounts You Follow The Effects of Social Media on Political Polarization in Mexico.pdf
53. Lev-On A. (2022). Polarization of Deliberative and Participatory Activists on Social Media // *Media and Communication*. Vol.10, Issue 4, Pp. 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v10i4.5637>
54. Coscia M., Rossi L. (2022). How minimizing conflicts could lead to polarization on social media: An agent-based model investigation // *PLoS ONE*. V.17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263184>
55. Fagni T., Cresci S. (2022). Fine-Grained Prediction of Political Leaning on Social Media with Unsupervised Deep Learning // *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*. V.73. Pp. 633-672. 2022\_Fine-grained Prediction of Political Leaning on Social Media with Unsupervised Deep Learning.pdf
56. Jones-Jang S.M., Chung M. (2022). Can we blame social media for polarization? Counterevidence against filter bubble claims during the COVID-19 pandemic // *New Media & Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448221099591>
57. Németh R. (2023). A scoping review on the use of natural language processing in research on political polarization: trends and research prospects // *Journal of Computational Social Science*. V.6. Pp.289–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42001-022-00196-2>
- Polarization and conflict**
58. Cardona D., Freitas J., Rubí-Barceló A. (2023). Polarization and conflict among groups with heterogeneous members // *Social Choice and Welfare*. V.61. Pp.199–219. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00355-022-01446-y>
59. Scherman A., Etchegaray N., Browne M., Mazorra D., Rojas H. (2022). WhatsApp, Polarization, and Non-Conventional Political Participation: Chile and Colombia Before the Social Outbursts of 2019 // *Media and Communication*. Vol.10, Issue 4. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v10i4.5817>
60. Ray D. (2022). ECONOMIC INEQUALITY, POLARIZATION AND CONFLICT. New York University. 2022\_ECONOMIC INEQUALITY, POLARIZATION AND CONFLICT.pdf
- People polarization**
61. Hohmann M. et al. (2023). Quantifying ideological polarization on a network using generalized Euclidean distance. Supplementary Materials. *Science Advances*, 9. 2023\_Quantifying ideological polarization on a network using generalized Euclidean distance.pdf
62. Kurschilgen M. (2023). Moral awareness polarizes people's fairness judgments // *Social Choice and Welfare*. V.61, Pp.339–364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00355-023-01454-6>
63. Malloy C.S., Hughes C., Cassidy B.S. (2023). Perceiver and target partisanship shift facial trustworthiness effects on likability // *Scientific Reports*. V.13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-33307-8>

64. Zimmerman F., Pedraza L., Navajas J., Balenzuela P. (2023). Attraction by ingroup coherence drives the emergence of ideological sorting. 2023\_Attraction by ingroup coherence drives the emergence of ideological sorting.pdf
65. Charron N., Lapuente V., Rodriguez-Pose A. (2023). Uncooperative society, uncooperative politics or both? Trust, polarization, populism and COVID-19 deaths across European regions // *European Journal of Political Research*. Vol.62, Issue3. Pp.781-805. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12529>
66. Bozdağ Ç., Koçer S. (2022). Skeptical Inertia in the Face of Polarization: News Consumption and Misinformation in Turkey // *Media and Communication*. Vol. 10. №2. Issue 2, Pp. 169–179. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.v10i2.5057>
67. Leota J., Simpson D., Mazidi D., Nash K. (2023). Purity, politics, and polarization: Political ideology moderates threat-induced shifts in moral purity beliefs // *British Journal of Social Psychology*. Volume 62, Issue 2. Pp. 806–824. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12596>
68. Zafeiris A. (2022). Opinion polarization in human communities can emerge as a natural consequence of beliefs being interrelated // *Entropy*. V.24(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/e24091320>
69. Torcal M., Magalhães P.C. (2022). Ideological extremism, perceived party system polarization, and support for democracy // *European Political Science Review*. V.14. Issue 2. Pp. 188–205. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773922000066>
70. Cantini R., Marozzo F., Talia D., Tunfio P. (2022). Analyzing Political Polarization on Social Media by Deleting Bot Spamming // *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*. V.6(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc6010003>
- Ideological polarization**
71. Erkel P., Turkenburg E. (2022). Delving into the divide: how ideological differences fuel out-party hostility in a multi-party context // *European Political Science Review*. V.14(3). Pp. 386–402. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773922000121>
72. Wollebæk D., Fladmoe A., Steen-Johnsen K., Ihlen, Ø. (2022). Right-wing ideological constraint and vaccine refusal: The case of the COVID-19 vaccine in Norway // *Scandinavian Political Studies*. V.45, Pp.253–278. <https://doi.org/10.1111/scps.12224>
- Opinion polarization**
73. Keijzer M.A., Mäs M. (2022). The complex link between filter bubbles and opinion polarization // *Data Science*. V.5, Pp.139–166. 2022\_The complex link between filter bubbles and opinion polarization.pdf
74. Lim S.L., Bentley P.J. (2022). Opinion amplification causes extreme polarization in social networks // *Scientific Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22856-z> 2022\_Opinion amplification causes extreme polarization in social networks.pdf
75. Hood M.V., McKee S.C. (2022). Getting the Message: Opinion Polarization over Election Law // *Election Law Journal: Rules, Politics and Policy*. Vol. 21. Issue 2. Pp.124-134. <http://doi.org/10.1089/elj.2021.0038>
76. Peralta A.F., Kertesz J., Iniguez G. (2022). Opinion dynamics in social networks: From models to data. 2022\_Opinion dynamics in social networks From models to data.pdf
77. Jiang T. (2022). Studying opinion polarization on social media // *Social Work and Social Welfare*. V.4(2), Pp.232-241. <https://doi.org/10.25082/SWSW.2022.02.003>
- Echo chamber**
78. Shane T., Willaert T., Tuters M. (2022). The rise of “gaslighting”: debates about disinformation on Twitter and 4chan, and the possibility of a “good echo chamber” // *Popular Communication*. V. 20(3). Pp.178-192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15405702.2022.2044042>

79. Chen J. (2021). Research on the Echo Chamber Effect // *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*. Vol. 638. Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Public Art and Human Development (ICPAHD 2021). 2022\_Research on the Echo Chamber Effect.pdf
80. Törnberg P., Törnberg A. (2022). Inside a White Power echo chamber: Why fringe digital spaces are polarizing politics // *New Media & Society*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448221122915>  
2022\_Inside a White Power echo chamber Why fringe digital spaces are polarizing politics.pdf
81. Minici M., Cinus F., Monti C., Bonchi F., Manco G. (2022). Cascade-based Echo Chamber Detection // In Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM '22).  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.04620>
- Belief polarization**
82. Baumgaertner B., Justwan F. (2022). The preference for belief, issue polarization, and echo chambers // *Synthese*. V.200.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-022-03880-y>
83. Begby E. (2022). From Belief Polarization to Echo Chambers: A Rationalizing Account // *Episteme, First View*, Pp. 1 – 21.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/epi.2022.14>
84. Gene A., Iftekhhar H. (2022). Belief polarization and Covid-19 // *Bank of Finland Research Discussion Papers*. №10. Bank of Finland, Helsinki. <https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:fi:bof-202209201384>  
2022\_Belief Polarization and COVID-19.pdf
85. Rekker R., Harteveld E. (2022). Understanding factual belief polarization: the role of trust, political sophistication, and affective polarization // *Acta Politica*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41269-022-00265-4>

**REVIEW OF PUBLICATIONS ON THE TOPIC:  
"VALUE-AFFECTIVE POLARIZATION OF SOCIAL GROUPS"**

© **Aleksandr V. Vanin**

PhD (Psychology), Researcher, Institute of Psychology RAS, Moscow, Russia  
[vaninav@ipran.ru](mailto:vaninav@ipran.ru)

© **Olga V. Gordyakova**

Ph.D. (psychology), professor, department of social psychology,  
«Moscow Institute of Psychoanalysis», Moscow, Russia  
[o\\_gordyakova@mail.ru](mailto:o_gordyakova@mail.ru)

The review presents publications in 2022-2023, carried out within the framework of the project: "Value-affective polarization of the Russian population and the problem of preventing psychological instability of Russian society." These are only those publications that have attracted our attention, and in which we find some scientific novelty for assessing the state of research in our country. The reviewed publications are distributed by keywords, according to which the search was carried out.